

On the Harmonic Oscillator Regularization of Partition Functions

Kåre Olaussen¹

*Gruppe for Teoretisk Fysikk,
Universitetet i Trondheim, NTH,
N-7034 Trondheim, NORWAY*

ABSTRACT

A convenient way to calculate N -particle quantum partition functions is by confining the particles in a weak harmonic potential instead of using a finite box or periodic boundary conditions. There is, however, a slightly different connection between partition functions and thermodynamic quantities with such volume regularization. This is made explicit, and its origin explained to be due to the system having a space-varying density in an external potential. Beyond perturbation theory there is a potential pitfall with the method, which is pointed out.

PACS numbers: 05.30.-d 05.70.-a

July 4, 1992

¹Email: kolausen@phys.unit.no

In order to simplify the computation of quantum partition functions one would prefer to utilize a geometry which allows for separation of center-of-mass motion and preserves as many symmetries of the model as possible. Using a finite box to confine particles does not meet the first requirement, and periodic boundary conditions are in conflict with the usual rotational invariance of particle interactions. A rather convenient solution is to confine the particles in a harmonic potential, and mimic the infinite volume limit by letting this potential vanish. By comparing the partition function for a single particle in a finite box of (D -dimensional) volume V ,

$$Z_1^{(V)} = V \Lambda_T^{-D} = V \left(m/2\pi\beta\hbar^2 \right)^{D/2}, \quad \text{with } \beta = 1/k_B T,$$

and in the harmonic oscillator potential $\Phi(r) = \frac{1}{2}m\omega^2 r^2$,

$$Z_1^{(\omega)} = \left[2 \sinh\left(\frac{1}{2}\beta\hbar\omega\right) \right]^{-D} \approx (\beta\hbar\omega)^{-D},$$

one finds a volume V_ω corresponding to a given potential strenght $m\omega^2$:

$$V_\omega = \left(2\pi/\beta m\omega^2 \right)^{D/2}. \quad (1)$$

V_ω can be used as an effective volume in the calculation of e.g. virial coefficients, as was first done by Comtet *et. al.*[1] for the second virial coefficient of the anyon[2, 3] gas. Their result is in agreement with an earlier calculation by Arovas *et. al.*[4] (who used confinement in a circular disk), but the analysis is much simplified. The same method has been applied by Blum *et. al.*[5] to a similar anyon model, and is also used in many other analyses of anyon systems[6, 7]. But there is nothing in the method which restricts its applicability to such systems only,—one expects it to be a convenient method for volume regularization in general.

The connection between the thermodynamic quantities and partition functions is however different in these two cases. This fact, and its origin, does not seem to have been explicitly commented on in the literature. To review briefly, all intrinsic thermodynamic quantities can in principle be derived from the fugacity expansion of the pressure

$$\beta p = \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} b_\ell z^\ell, \quad (2)$$

where $z = \exp(\beta\mu)$, with μ the chemical potential. For instance, the density is given as

$$\rho = \beta z \partial p / \partial z = \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \ell b_\ell z^\ell, \quad (3)$$

from which the virial expansion can be obtained (by inverting (3) into an expansion for $z = z(\rho)$, and inserting the latter into (2)). I.e.

$$\beta p = \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} a_\ell \rho^\ell,$$

with

$$a_1 = \frac{1}{b_1}, \quad a_2 = -\frac{b_2}{b_1^2}, \quad a_3 = \frac{4b_2^2 - 2b_1b_3}{b_1^4}, \quad a_4 = -\frac{20b_2^3 - 18b_1b_2b_3 + 3b_1^2b_4}{b_1^6} \text{ etc.}$$

Likewise the free energy per volume unit f is found from the relation

$$\beta f = \rho \log(z(\rho)) - \beta p = \rho \log(b_1^{-1} \rho) + \sum_{\ell=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\ell-1} a_{\ell} \rho^{\ell}.$$

With confinement in a finite box of volume V the connection between n -particle partition functions $Z_n^{(V)}$ and the b_{ℓ} 's follows from the relation

$$\exp(\beta p V) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n Z_n^{(V)} \equiv \exp\left(\sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} z^{\ell} U_{\ell}(Z_1^{(V)}, \dots, Z_{\ell}^{(V)})\right). \quad (4)$$

I.e., by comparing (4) and (2),

$$V b_{\ell} = U_{\ell}(Z_1^{(V)}, \dots, Z_{\ell}^{(V)}). \quad (5)$$

Explicitly, the first few U_{ℓ} 's are

$$\begin{aligned} U_1 &= Z_1, \quad U_2 = \frac{1}{2} [2Z_2 - Z_1^2], \quad U_3 = \frac{1}{3} [3Z_3 - 3Z_1 Z_2 + Z_1^3], \\ U_4 &= \frac{1}{4} [4Z_4 - 4Z_1 Z_3 - 2Z_2^2 + 4Z_1^2 Z_2 - Z_1^4]. \end{aligned}$$

However, with confinement in a harmonic potential equation (5) must be changed to

$$V_{\omega} \ell^{-D/2} b_{\ell} = U_{\ell}(Z_1^{(\omega)}, \dots, Z_{\ell}^{(\omega)}), \quad (6)$$

where D is the number of space dimensions. The reason for the additional factor $\ell^{-D/2}$ on the left hand side is that we are dealing with a system of spatially varying density and pressure. The relation (4) should thus be modified to

$$\exp\left(\int d^D r \beta p(r)\right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n Z_n^{(\omega)} = \exp\left(\sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} z^{\ell} U_{\ell}\right), \quad (7)$$

while the relations between local pressure $p(r)$, density $\rho(r)$, and fugacity z become

$$\beta p(r) = \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} b_{\ell} \left(ze^{-\beta\Phi(r)}\right)^{\ell}, \quad \rho(r) = \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \ell b_{\ell} \left(ze^{-\beta\Phi(r)}\right)^{\ell}. \quad (8)$$

These relations are independent of the form of the potential $\Phi(r)$, provided the latter is sufficiently well-behaved. By inserting (8) into the left hand side of (7), with $\Phi(r) = \frac{1}{2}m\omega^2 r^2$, and performing the space integration one obtains the announced equation (6), with V_{ω} as defined by equation (1). The assumption behind this derivation is that the potential has neglectible variation on the scale of the correlation length of the system, so that the local equations of state are equal to those in a homogeneous bulk system of the same density.

As a simple consistency check one may compute the partions functions for ideal Bose and Fermi gases in the two geometries. The U_{ℓ} 's are then found to be

$$U_{\ell} = \frac{(\pm 1)^{\ell+1}}{\ell} \sum_i e^{-\ell\beta\epsilon_i}$$

for $\begin{smallmatrix} \text{bosons} \\ \text{fermions} \end{smallmatrix}$ respectively. Here the sum runs over all single particle energy levels, with ϵ_i the energy of level i :

$$\sum_i e^{-\ell\beta\epsilon_i} = \begin{cases} V \int \frac{d^D k}{(2\pi)^D} e^{-\ell\beta\hbar^2 k^2/m} = V \Lambda_T^{-D} \ell^{-D/2} & \text{(periodic boundary conditions)} \\ \left(\sum_n e^{-\ell\beta\hbar\omega(n+1/2)} \right)^D \approx V_\omega \Lambda_T^{-D} \ell^{-D} & \text{(harmonic potential)} \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

Combined with equations (5) and (6) respectively one obtains the same expression for $b_\ell = (\pm 1)^{\ell+1} \Lambda_T^{-D} \ell^{-(1+D/2)}$ in the two cases. However, one notes a difficulty with the method in the case of bosons below the Bose condensation point. Above Bose condensation the local equations of state can be written in the parametric form

$$\begin{aligned} \beta p(r) &= \left[\Gamma\left(\frac{D}{2}\right) \Lambda_T^D \right]^{-1} \int_0^\infty d\tau \tau^{D/2-1} \log \left(1 - z e^{-\beta\Phi(r)} e^{-\tau} \right), \\ \rho(r) &= \left[\Gamma\left(\frac{D}{2}\right) \Lambda_T^D \right]^{-1} \int_0^\infty d\tau \tau^{D/2-1} \frac{z}{e^{\beta\Phi(r)+\tau} - z}. \end{aligned}$$

When $\Phi = 0$ at its minimum the fugacity z is restricted to $z \leq 1$. This leads to a maximal value $\rho_{\max}(r)$ for the local density in space dimensions $D > 2$, and this value is smaller than the bulk critical density where $\Phi(r) > 0$. To increase the density further a macroscopic number of particles must Bose condense into the ground state. These condensed particles will be spatially distributed as

$$\rho_0(r) = N_0 \left(\frac{1}{\pi r_c^2} \right)^{D/2} e^{-(r/r_c)^2},$$

with N_0 the number of condensed particles, and $r_c = (\hbar/m\omega)^{1/2}$. The ‘‘coherence length’’ r_c diverges as $\omega \rightarrow 0^+$, but much slower than the linear system size, $r_\omega = V_\omega^{1/D} = (2\pi/\beta m \omega^2)^{1/2}$: $r_c/r_\omega = (2\pi/\beta \hbar \omega)^{1/2}$. In the coherence region around the bottom of the potential the local equations of state are different from those in a homogenous bulk medium of the same density. This may be attributed to long range correlations in this region,

$$\langle \rho_0(r) \rho_0(s) \rangle - \langle \rho_0(r) \rangle \langle \rho_0(s) \rangle = N_0 \left(\frac{1}{\pi r_c^2} \right)^D e^{-(r^2+s^2)/r_c^2}$$

There is an alternative, more cumbersome but also more general, method to handle spatially non-homogeneous systems, based on the computation of local correlation functions. It is instructive to verify that this procedure leads to results in agreement with the simple arguments above. In the language of second quantization the density is given by

$$\hat{\rho}(r) = \Psi^\dagger(r) \Psi(r),$$

while the local pressure can be expressed in terms of the trace of the stress tensor¹

$$\hat{T}^{ij}(r) = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \left\{ \left(\partial_i \Psi^\dagger(r) \right) \left(\partial_j \Psi(r) \right) + \left(\partial_j \Psi^\dagger(r) \right) \left(\partial_i \Psi(r) \right) - \frac{1}{2} \delta_{ij} \nabla^2 \left(\Psi^\dagger(r) \Psi(r) \right) \right\}, \quad (10)$$

¹With a momentum density $\hat{P}^i(x) = -\frac{i}{2}\hbar \left[\Psi^\dagger (\partial_i \Psi) - (\partial_i \Psi^\dagger) \Psi \right]$, and use of the equations of motion, one finds that this expression for the stress tensor leads to a force law of the expected form: $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \hat{P}^j + \partial_i \hat{T}^{ij} = \hat{F}^j$, with $\hat{F}^j(r) = -\Psi^\dagger(r) \Psi(r) \partial_j \Phi(r)$.

i.e.

$$\hat{p}(r) = \frac{1}{D} \sum_{i=1}^D \hat{T}^{ii}(r). \quad (11)$$

Here $\Psi(r)$ is the second quantized Schrödinger field (assumed to obey either Bose or Fermi statistics),—thus $\hat{\rho}(r)$ and $\hat{T}_{ij}(r)$ are quantum operators whose thermal average we want to compute. This information is contained in the correlation function

$$\begin{aligned} G(r, s; \beta) &= \text{Tr} \left[e^{-\beta(\hat{H}-\mu\hat{N})} \Psi^\dagger(r) \Psi(s) \right] / \text{Tr} \left[e^{-\beta(\hat{H}-\mu\hat{N})} \right] = \\ &= \sum_i \frac{e^{-\beta(\epsilon_i-\mu)}}{1 \pm e^{\beta(\epsilon_i-\mu)}} \psi_i^*(r) \psi_i(s) = \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} (\pm 1)^{\ell+1} z^\ell \sum_i e^{-\ell\beta\epsilon_i} \psi_i^*(r) \psi_i(s) = \\ &= \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} (\pm 1)^{\ell+1} z^\ell \mathcal{N}_\ell e^{-(m\omega/2\hbar)[(r^2+s^2) \coth(\ell\beta\hbar\omega) - 2rs/\sinh(\ell\beta\hbar\omega)]}. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

where the sum over i runs over all single particle energy levels, with energies ϵ_i (we assume $\epsilon_{min} > \mu$) and normalized eigenfunctions ψ_i . $\mathcal{N}_\ell = [m\omega/2\pi\hbar \sinh(\ell\beta\hbar\omega)]^{D/2}$, and r, s are D -dimensional vectors (their product means scalar product). It follows that

$$\rho(r) = \langle \hat{\rho}(r) \rangle_\beta = G(r, r, \beta) = \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} (\pm 1)^{\ell+1} z^\ell \mathcal{N}_\ell e^{-(r/r_\ell)^2}, \quad (13)$$

where $r_\ell^{-2} = \left(\frac{m\omega}{\hbar}\right) \tanh(\frac{1}{2}\ell\beta\hbar\omega)$. Further

$$\begin{aligned} p(r) &= \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \left\{ \frac{2}{D} \sum_{i=1}^D \partial_i^r \partial_i^s G(r, s; \beta) \right\}_{s \rightarrow r} - \frac{1}{2} \nabla^2 G(r, r; \beta) \Bigg\} = \\ &= \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} (\pm 1)^{\ell+1} z^\ell \mathcal{N}_\ell \left[\frac{(2m\omega/\hbar)}{\sinh(\ell\beta\hbar\omega)} + \frac{D^2 r_\ell^2 + 2(1-D)r^2}{Dr_\ell^4} \right] e^{-(r/r_\ell)^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

In the limit $\omega \rightarrow 0^+$ equations (14) and (13) turn into the corresponding expressions in equation (8). The parameter ε which determines the first correction to this limit expression for the pressure is seen to be

$$\varepsilon = \bar{\ell}\beta\hbar\omega, \quad \text{with} \quad \bar{\ell}\beta p = z \frac{\partial}{\partial z} p = \rho.$$

In conclusion, the harmonic oscillator regularization is a convenient method to impose particle confinement in a finite volume. Taking into account the modifications due to the resulting spatial nonhomogeneity of the system all bulk thermodynamic quantities may in principle be calculated, at least perturbatively in a fugacity or density expansion. Non-perturbatively the method may break down in regions with long range correlations.

1 References

- [1] A.Comtet, Y.Georgelin and S.Ouvry, J.Phys. A **22**, 3917 (1989)
- [2] J.M.Leinaas and J.Myrheim, Nuovo Cimento B **37**, 1 (1977)
- [3] F.Wilczek, Phys.Rev.Lett. **49**, 957 (1982)
- [4] D.P.Arovas, R.Schrieffer, F.Wilczek and A.Zee, Nucl.Phys. **B251**, 117 (1985)
- [5] T.Blum, C.R.Hagen and S.Ramaswamy, Phys.Rev.Lett. **64**, 709 (1990)
- [6] M.Sporre, J.J.M.Verbaarschot and I.Zahed, SUNY-NTG-91/47 (December 1991)
- [7] D.Sen, Phys.Rev.Lett. **68**, 2977 (1992)